



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/073,643 05/06/1998		JANIE MCKITTRICK	6339	1046
25763	7590 08/26/2002			
	WHITNEY LLP	EXAMINER		
	UAL PROPERTY DE IXTH STREET	PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C		
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN 55402-1498		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Analisation No.	Applicant(a)				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/073,643	MCKITTRICK, JANIE				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
		Meredith C Petravick	3671				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sneet with t	ne correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/8	<u>3/02</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable acce						
44)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
•) All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under do 0.0.0.3 1	(4)				
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ication No.				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
	 a) The translation of the foreign language processes. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachme							
2) 🔲 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/073,643

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maguire in view of Pfister.

Maguire discloses a hand held gardening implement having a frame which comprises the rake handle 18 and center portion 10a of the holder, a rake head (having working elements (tines) capable of piercing the ground at the proximal end of the frame, a brace 10c (figure 3) attached to the center portion 10a of the frame at the distal end, a handle 11 intermediate the working element and projecting away from the frame 18,10a in the opposite direction as the working element, and the brace 10c is formed of a circular metallic rod stock.

However, a cushion for contacting the forearm on the brace is not specifically disclosed.

Pfister discloses a cushion 20 to the degree as claimed on a brace portion of a hand tool. It would have been an obvious choice in design to provide a cushion as seen in Pfister to the brace Maguire to provide a comfortable rest for the forearm. To provide such a cushion to offer comfort and ease of operation, especially when the tool is being used for an extended period of time or handling a burdensome load would be considered obvious.

Application/Control Number: 09/073,643

Art Unit: 3671

Re claim16, metal time rakes with a substantially rectangular head (not the fanned-types used in raking leaves) can be used for digging. Hoes can also be used with the implement holder (col. 3, lines 24-32).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the present rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/073,643

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith Petravick whose telephone number is 703-305-0047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. -4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at 703-308-3870.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113. The fax number for this Group is 703-305-3597.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3671

MCP

August 20, 2002